Density Functional Theory for General Hard-Core Lattice Gases
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We put forward a general procedure to obtain an approximate free-energy density functional for any hard-core lattice gas, regardless of the shape of the particles, the underlying lattice, or the dimension of the system. The procedure is conceptually very simple and recovers effortlessly previous results for some particular systems. Also, the obtained density functionals belong to the class of fundamental measure functionals and, therefore, are always consistent through dimensional reduction. We discuss possible extensions of this method to account for attractive lattice models.
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Despite the crucial role that lattice models have had in the development of statistical physics, when one looks for such models in the literature of density functional theory, the results are scarce. In the past few years, though, some of the most classical approximations have been extended to lattice systems [1–3] and used to study different phenomena (such as freezing and fluid-solid interfaces [1,2] or confined fluids [3]). Also very recently, the fundamental measure (FM) theory has been added to the list through its formulation for systems of parallel hard hypercubes in hypercubic lattices [4].

This increasing interest in density functionals for lattice models has several motivations. On the one hand, lattice models cover a wider range of problems, such as glasses [7] or DNA denaturation [10], to name only two. On the other hand, lattice models provide convenient simplifications. This is the case of glasses [7] or fluids in porous media [8], to name only two. On the other hand, lattice models cover a wider range of problems, many of which do not even belong to the theory of fluids (such as roughening [9] or DNA denaturation [10], to name only two) and so have never been studied with density functional theory. Finally, from a purely theoretical point of view, these extensions are also interesting because they reveal features of the structure of the approximate functionals which are hidden or at least not apparent in their continuum counterparts (this is the case of FM functionals).

In this Letter, we propose a simple systematic procedure to construct a FM functional for any hard-core lattice model. The construction is based on the dimensional crossover of this theory, much like the latest versions for continuum models.

Let us begin by realizing that all FM functionals for lattice models studied in Refs. [4,6] share a common pattern, namely, the excess free energy can be written as

$$\beta F^{\text{ext}}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in L} \sum_{k \in I} a_k \Phi_0(\eta^k(s)), \quad (1)$$

where $L$ denotes the lattice, $I$ is a set of indices suitably chosen to denote the different weighted densities $\eta^k(s) \equiv \sum_{t \in C_k(s)} \rho(t)$, $a_k$ are integer coefficients which depend on the specific model, $\Phi_0(\eta) = \eta + (1 - \eta) \times \ln(1 - \eta)$ is the excess free energy of a 0D cavity with average occupancy $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\rho(s)$ is the density profile of the system (specifically, the occupancy probability of node $s$), and $C_k(s)$ is, for each $k \in I$, a finite labeled subgraph of the lattice placed at node $s$ (vertices are labeled with node vectors). The shape of the graphs $C_k(s)$ also depends on the model. From the definition, $\eta^k(s)$ appears as the mean occupancy of the lattice region defined by $C_k(s)$.

For the sake of clarity, we will illustrate this formal setup and the arguments to come with a simple example: the two-dimensional square lattice gas with first and second neighbor exclusion. With the help of a diagrammatic notation already introduced in [11], the excess free-energy functional for this model takes the form

$$\beta F^{\text{ext}}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^2} [\Phi_0(\rho^2) - \Phi_0(\rho) - \Phi_0(\rho^2) + \Phi_0(\rho)], \quad (2)$$

where the diagrams represent the (four in this case) weighted densities $\rho^2 = n^{(1,1)}(s)$, $\rho^0 = n^{(0,0)}(s)$, $\rho^2 = n^{(0,1)}(s)$, and $\rho = n^{(0,0)}(s)$, where $[s] = (s_1, s_2)$.
\[ n^{(k_1,k_2)}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \sum_{j=0}^{k_2} \rho(s_1+i,s_2+j). \]  

This notation uses explicitly the shape of the graphs \( C_k(s) \). Thanks to this more visual representation, it is easily verified that all these graphs represent 0D cavities of the lattice, because we can place at most one particle in any of them. This is a general feature of all FM functionals described by the pattern (1) so, from now on, the \( C_k(s) \) will be referred to as 0D cavities.

As we will make clear immediately, the form (1) with the \( C_k(s) \) given by 0D cavities is a direct consequence of the exact dimensional crossover to any 0D cavity that FM functionals possess. The latter means that, if we take a density profile which vanishes outside a given 0D cavity (henceforth a 0D profile) and evaluate the functional, we will obtain the exact value of the free energy. The only known approximate density functionals having this property are FM ones [4–6,12]. (As a matter of fact, the property can be regarded as the very constructive principle of FM theory [12].) Before we start, let us define a maximal cavity to be any 0D cavity which, enlarged by any lattice site, stops being a 0D cavity because it can accommodate more than one particle. Clearly, any 0D cavity must be contained in a maximal cavity, so dimensional crossover to 0D needs only to be proved for maximal cavities. (Notice that there can be more than one maximal cavity in a given system.) Let us now try to construct the simplest possible functional of the class (1) which fulfills the exact dimensional crossover requirement. Its construction will proceed iteratively. In the first place, if the functional must return the exact free energy when evaluated at any 0D profile, there must appear a term in (1) for each maximal cavity of the model, and the corresponding coefficient \( a_k \) must be 1. For the running example we are considering, Eq. (2), this means that we should start off with the ansatz

\[ \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi_{0}(\{s\}). \]  

because \( \{s\} \) is the only maximal cavity of this model.

If (4) were the final functional, evaluated at any maximal 0D profile (one corresponding to a maximal cavity), it should return the exact free energy. In the example, all maximal 0D profiles have the form illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Let us now substitute this profile in (4) and see what comes out. For an easy way to do the evaluation, just imagine the graphs \( C_k(s) \) as windows which only allow one to see the content of the lattice nodes they overlap. Then the sum over the lattice nodes implies that we must place these windows at every lattice site, evaluate the content, and add up the results of these evaluations. When the density profile is a 0D one [as in Fig. 1(a)], all contributions will vanish except those for which the window overlaps at least one node of the 0D profile. In our example, this means that (4) will return

\[ \Phi_{0}(\{s\}). \]  

We can see that, apart from the exact value [the first term on the right-hand side (rhs)], there appear a number of spurious contributions. Therefore (4) cannot be the final functional. These spurious terms are like evaluations of \( \rho_{0D} \) with nonmaximal cavities, so we will try to eliminate them by adding new terms to (4) corresponding to nonmaximal cavities, with the appropriate coefficients. Since a term such as \( \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \) evaluated at \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) will return \( \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \), it seems reasonable to choose it to remove the first bracket on the rhs of (5) (and the vertical one to remove the second bracket). Thus, we use as our second ansatz

\[ \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left[ \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) - \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) - \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \right]. \]  

FIG. 1. Examples of 0D profiles corresponding to maximal cavities for the first and second neighbor exclusion lattice gas in the square lattice (a) and the nearest-neighbor exclusion lattice gas in the triangular lattice (b). The density can have only nonzero values at the black nodes (which define maximal cavities).

\[ \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho_{0D}] = \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}). \]  

where \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) denotes a 0D profile of the form given in Fig. 1(a). (Filled numbered circles in the diagrams represent actual evaluations of the density profile for the corresponding numbered nodes of the lattice.) We can see that, apart from the exact value [the first term on the right-hand side (rhs)], there appear a number of spurious contributions. Therefore (4) cannot be the final functional. These spurious terms are like evaluations of \( \rho_{0D} \) with nonmaximal cavities, so we will try to eliminate them by adding new terms to (4) corresponding to nonmaximal cavities, with the appropriate coefficients. Since a term such as \( \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \) evaluated at \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) will return \( \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \), it seems reasonable to choose it to remove the first bracket on the rhs of (5) (and the vertical one to remove the second bracket). Thus, we use as our second ansatz

\[ \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left[ \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) - \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) - \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \right]. \]  

Note that, in doing this, we have chosen new graphs \( C_k(s) \) and their corresponding coefficients \( a_k \) in (1). When we insert \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) in this new functional we obtain \( \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho_{0D}] = \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) + \sum \Phi_{0}(\{s\}). \) We have indeed removed many spurious contributions, but there still remain some. It should now be clear that in order to remove the latter we must add to the previous ansatz the term \( \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi_{0}(\{s\}). \) This way we obtain the functional (2), which was already derived in [4] by a different procedure. It is straightforward to check that \( \beta \mathcal{F}^\text{ex}[\rho_{0D}] = \Phi_{0}(\{s\}) \), thus proving its exact dimensional crossover.

In order to be illustrative, let us apply this procedure again to obtain the FM functional for a different model: the nearest-neighbor exclusion lattice gas in the triangular lattice (hard hexagons). This example is different from...
the previous one in that it has two maximal cavities: \( a \) and \( \gamma \). Then, the first-step functional must be

\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[^{\rho}] = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}^2} \left[ \Phi_0(\vec{a}, s) + \Phi_0(\vec{\gamma}) \right].
\]

(7)

Corresponding to the existence of two different maximal cavities there are two different density profiles, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The exact dimensional crossover to 0D must be satisfied for both of them. Let us start by the one with a triangle-up shape and let us denote it \( \rho_{0D}(s) \). Substituting it in each of the two terms of (7), we obtain (using again the window metaphor)

\[
\sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}^2} \Phi_0(\vec{a}, s) = \Phi_0(\vec{a}^1) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^3 \Phi_0(\bullet, s).
\]

(8)

As in the previous example, to remove the “largest” spurious contributions (those of the dimers) we propose

\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_2^{ex}[\rho] = \beta \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}^2} \left[ \Phi_0(\vec{a}, s) + \Phi_0(\vec{\gamma}) \right] + \Phi_0(\vec{0}).
\]

(9)

Substituting \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) again we get \( \beta \mathcal{F}_2^{ex}[\rho_{0D}] = \Phi_0(\vec{a}^1) - 3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \Phi_0(\bullet, s) \), so we have to add a last correction for the pointlike cavities, which finally leads to

\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_3^{ex}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{Z}^2} \left[ \Phi_0(\vec{a}, s) + \Phi_0(\vec{\gamma}) \right] - \Phi_0(\vec{a}) - \Phi_0(\vec{\gamma}) + \Phi_0(\vec{0}).
\]

(10)

This functional is exact for \( \rho_{0D}(s) \). We would now have to check if the same occurs for the 0D cavity corresponding to the triangle-down in Fig. 1(b), but symmetry considerations immediately show that this is the case. In general, checking dimensional crossover for a new 0D cavity may lead to the appearance of additional spurious contributions. These have to be eliminated by adding the corresponding terms to the functional.

Finally, notice that (10) coincides with the functional obtained in [6] through a completely different (and far more involved) route.

Let us summarize the procedure to follow for an arbitrary lattice gas with hard-core interaction. The steps are as follows.

(i) Determine the complete set of maximal 0D cavities of the model. If we denote them by \( C_k (k = 1, \ldots, m) \), then the first-step functional to the functional will be

\[
\beta \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{L}} \sum_{k=1}^m \Phi_0(n(k)(s)).
\]

(11)

(ii) Select a maximal cavity \( C_k \) and let \( \rho_{0D}(s) \) denote a generic density profile for it.

(iii) Insert \( \rho_{0D} \) in the current functional, \( \beta \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] \), and see which spurious contributions appear. Identify the terms with the largest graphs (those not contained in any other of the graphs appearing, except \( C_k \)) and pick one of them.

(iv) Construct the next step functional \( \beta \mathcal{F}_2^{ex}[\rho] \) by adding to \( \beta \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] \) a new term, with its corresponding coefficient \( a_k \), so that it eliminates the selected spurious contribution.

(v) Repeat steps (iii)–(v) until no spurious contribution remains. (Of course, one can exploit the symmetries of the model to resume several steps of this process in just one, as we have done in the examples.)

(vi) Repeat steps (ii)–(vi) until exhausting all maximal cavities.

The functional resulting from this process will be of the form (1) and will have, by construction, an exact dimensional crossover to 0D. It can be proven that starting from (11) there is a unique functional of the form (1) with an exact dimensional crossover to 0D, so any other procedure leading to it is equally valid. (In other words, the fact that we have chosen to remove the spurious terms in decreasing order of “size” is immaterial, but in doing so we abbreviate the process.) A sketch of the existence and uniqueness proof goes as follows (a more detailed account will be reported in [13]).

Let us form the set \( \mathcal{P} \) with the lattice \( \mathcal{L} \) and all maximal cavities \( C_k (s) (s \in \mathcal{L}, k = 1, \ldots, m) \), and let us complete it with all nonempty intersections of any number of maximal cavities. For any \( x, y \in \mathcal{P} \), we will say that \( x \leq y \) iff all nodes of \( x \) are in \( y \). This transforms \( \mathcal{P} \) into a partially ordered set or poset. Any interval \( [x, y] = \{ z \in \mathcal{P} : x \leq z \leq y \} \) is a finite subset of \( \mathcal{P} \), so \( \mathcal{P} \) is a locally finite poset. Locally finite posets have the property [14] that, for any mapping \( f : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \), with \( \mathcal{V} \) a vector space, there exists \( g : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \) such that

\[
f(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} g(y), \quad g(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} f(y) \mu(y, x).
\]

(12)

The way to prove this is by inserting the second expression into the first, which leads to

\[
\mu(x, y) = 1, \quad \mu(y, x) = - \sum_{y < z \leq x} \mu(z, x).
\]

(13)

a recursion which defines the (integer) coefficients \( \mu(y, x) \). This scheme is referred to in the literature as a M"obius inversion, and \( \mu(y, x) \) is a Möbius function [14].

For the poset \( \mathcal{P} \) defined above, let \( \mathcal{V} \) be the space of density functionals and take \( f(x) = \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] \) the (exact) excess free-energy functional of a given model on the graph \( x \). Specializing (12) to \( x = \mathcal{L} \),

\[
\mathcal{F}_2^{ex}[\rho] = \Psi_{\mathcal{L}}[\rho] + \sum_{x < \mathcal{L}} \left[ - \mu(x, \mathcal{L}) \right] \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho].
\]

(14)

where \( \Psi_{\mathcal{L}}[\rho] = g(\mathcal{L}) \) is an unknown functional. The sum on the rhs of (14) contains only evaluations of \( \mathcal{F}_1^{ex}[\rho] \) for.
0D cavities and so is an expression similar to (1). Now let \( \rho^{(0)}_x(s) \) be a generic 0D density profile for cavity \( x \). Then,

\[
\mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}_{\gamma}[\rho^{(0)}_x] = \begin{cases} 
0 
& \text{if } x \cap \gamma = \emptyset \\
\mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}_{\gamma \cap \gamma}[\rho^{(0)}_x] 
& \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\] (15)

As \( x \cap \mathcal{L} = x \), evaluating (14) for \( \rho^{(0)}_x(s) \) yields

\[
\Psi_{\mathcal{L}}[\rho^{(0)}_x] = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{L}} \nu(z, x) \mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}_{z}[\rho^{(0)}_x],
\]

\[
\nu(z, x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{L} - z} \mu(y, \mathcal{L}),
\] (16)

and it is a consequence of Weisner’s theorem [14] that \( \nu(z, x) = 0 \) for any \( x < \mathcal{L} \); therefore \( \Psi_{\mathcal{L}}[\rho^{(0)}_x] = 0 \) or, in other words, the sum on the rhs of (14) is exact for any 0D cavity.

This completes the proof that the requirement of an exact dimensional reduction to 0D cavities leads to a functional of the form (1). As to the uniqueness, it suffices to realize that \( \nu(z, x) = 0 \) [a necessary condition for a functional of the form (1) to have an exact dimensional reduction to 0D cavities] is a particular case of the recurrence (13), whose only solution is \( \mu(x, \mathcal{L}) \).

With this method, one can easily recover all functionalities previously obtained in Refs. [4,6,11] and obtain those of virtually any other hard-core lattice gas [15]. One further striking feature of all functionalities obtained in this way is that they also have an exact dimensional crossover to one dimension, simply because the exact one-dimensional functional is of the form (1) [4,6].

Clearly, the procedure presented above has no restriction in its application other than the determination of the maximal cavities. It can be applied to particles of any shape, in any lattice (including regular lattices, Bethe lattices, Husimi trees, etc.), and in any dimension. It can even be applied to mixtures, either additive or non-additive, provided a 0D cavity is properly defined as a superposition of cavities, one for each species, such that at most one particle of only one species can be placed in it (see [4] for more details).

The readers familiar with Kikuchi’s cluster variation method may have recognized a similarity with the procedure we have presented here. The connection is more prominent through the Möbius inversion formula [16] and will be properly discussed elsewhere [13].

Finally, the theory can be generalized in several ways. First of all, we have already mentioned that there is a straightforward extension to mixtures which recovers the functionalities for mixtures already derived in [4,11,17]. A second extension is the inclusion of “extended” 0D cavities in which there can be up to \( n \) particles. We have already checked that the inclusion of two-particle 0D cavities for the Ising lattice gas (which has repulsive and attractive interactions) yields the functional obtained from the cluster variation method at the level of the Bethe approximation [18], which is exact in one dimension. Finally, there is a third extension for lattice gases in the presence of a porous matrix that we have already begun to explore [19]. Work along these lines is in progress.
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